

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,602 11/25/2003		Pawan Goyal	ARC920030077US1	5994		
55508	7590 05/16/2006			EXAMINER		
JOSEPH P. 0 1469 N.W. M			DAYE, CHELCIE L			
PORTLAND,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2161	- ··· ·	
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/721,60	2	GOYAL, PAWAN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Chelcie Da	iye	2161					
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHOF WHICH - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING and of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static y received by the Office later than three months after the main patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a) TI	esponsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{25}{25}$ nis action is FINAL . 2b) \square The nce this application is in condition for allow	nis action is no	on-final.	secution as to the	e merits is				
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a 5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-24 and 26 is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-24 and 26 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to laim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cor							
Application	ı Papers								
10)⊠ Th A _l Re	e specification is objected to by the Exami e drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is oplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correspondent of the state of the bushes.	s/are: a)⊠ ac ne drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
•	e oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action of lonn F i	0-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice of 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Io(s)/Mail Date 11/25/03&4/16/04.	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

Page 2

1. This action is issued in response to Application filed November 25, 2003.

2. Claims 1-24 and 26 are pending.

Claim Objections

3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). Corrections are needed in the instant application. The claims as presented by the applicant are claim numbers 24 and 26; therefore claim number 25 is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Examiner is unclear how applicant is defining the "log record write" and the "data record write". Since the "log record write" contains data itself, it is possible for the "log record write" to be interchangeable with the "data record write". In order to further

Art Unit: 2161

prosecution, examiner interprets the "log record write" to be a log file and the "data record write" to be a data file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanai (US Patent No. 6,502,205) filed November 10, 2000, in view of Shomler (US Patent No. 5,623,599) filed July 29, 1994.

Regarding Claim 1, Yanai discloses a method for asynchronously remotely copying database content changes from a primary site to a remote site, the method comprising:

associating a sequential identification with each respective log record write and each corresponding data record write received at the primary site (column 32, lines 34-58 and column 33, lines 7-10, Yanai). However, Yanai is silent with respect to asynchronously remotely copying each respective log record write from the primary site to the remote site; receiving an acknowledgement at the primary site, the acknowledgement corresponding to a log record write that has been completed at the remote site; and asynchronously remotely copying each

Art Unit: 2161

data record write having a sequential identification that is prior to or equal to the sequential identification of the log record write corresponding to the received acknowledgement. On the other hand, Shomler discloses asynchronously remotely copying each respective log record write from the primary site to the remote site (column 4, lines 10-17, Shomler); receiving an acknowledgement at the primary site, the acknowledgement corresponding to a log record write that has been completed at the remote site (column 9, lines 33-39, Shomler); and asynchronously remotely copying each data record write having a sequential identification that is prior to or equal to the sequential identification of the log record write corresponding to the received acknowledgement (column 10, lines 34-45, Shomler). Yanai and Shomler are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of maintaining a copy of data stored at a remote location from the primary data storage device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Shomler's teachings into the Yanai system. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine as suggested by Shomler at column 3, lines 9-14, in order to secure transactions at a remote site without interrupting the flow of other transactions in the system. Therefore, such a system should be simple to implement, efficient and non-disruptive to existing asynchronous copy systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,602

Art Únit: 2161

Regarding Claims 2 and 8, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing identification number (column 11, lines 38-46, Shomler).

Regarding Claims 3 and 9, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing time-stamp identification (column 7, lines 43-60, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 4, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method wherein a log record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site before a data record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site (column 10, lines 43-67, Yanai).

Regarding Claim 5, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method wherein each log record write is a log block (column 9, lines 26-32, Shomler)¹ and each data record write is a data block write (column 9, lines 18-20, Shomler)².

Regarding Claims 6 and 7, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method further comprising:

asynchronously receiving a log record write at the remote site (column 4, lines 10-17, Shomler);

storing the received log record write at the remote site (column 10, lines 43-58, Yanai);

sending an acknowledgement from the remote site to the primary site when the received log record write is complete (column 10, lines 1-8, Shomler);

asynchronously receiving a data record write at the remote site from the primary site (column 10, lines 34-45, Shomler); and

storing the received data record write (column 10, lines 43-58, Yanai).

Regarding Claim 10, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose a storage system for asynchronously remotely copying content changes stored in the storage system, the system comprising:

a primary site having a storage system separately storing log records and data records (Fig.12, item 214, Yanai);

a remote site having a storage system separately storing log records and a data records (Fig. 12, item 246, Yanai),

the primary site associating a sequential identification with each respective log record write and each corresponding data record write occurring at the primary site (column 32, lines 34-58 and column 33, lines 7-10, Yanai) and asynchronously remotely copying each respective log record write from the

¹ Examiner Notes: A token is a primitive block of structured text, which therefore corresponds with the log

primary site to the remote site (column 4, lines 10-17, Shomler), the remote site sending to the primary site an acknowledgement corresponding to a log record write that has been completed at the remote site (column 10, lines 1-8, Shomler), and the primary site asynchronously remotely copying to the remote site each data record write having a sequential identification that is prior to or equal to the sequential identification of the log record write corresponding to the received acknowledgement (column 10, lines 34-45, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 11, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the system wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing identification number (column 11, lines 38-46, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 12, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the system wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing time-stamp identification (column 7, lines 43-60, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 13, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the system wherein a log record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site before a data record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site (column 10, lines 43-67, Yanai).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,602 Page 8

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claim 14, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method wherein each log record write is a log block (column 9, lines 26-32, Shomler) and each data record write is a data block write (column 9, lines 18-20, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 15, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose a primary site of a distributed storage system, the system comprising:

a storage system separately storing log records and data records (Fig.12, item 214, Yanai); and

a controller (Fig. 1, item 16, Yanai) associating a sequential identification with each respective log record write and each corresponding data record write occurring at the primary site (column 32, lines 34-58 and column 33, lines 7-10, Yanai) and asynchronously remotely copying each respective log record write from the primary site to a remote site (column 4, lines 10-17, Shomler), the controller receiving an acknowledgement corresponding to a log record write that has been completed at the remote site and (column 9, lines 33-39, Shomler), in response, asynchronously remotely copying to the remote site each data record write having a sequential identification that is prior to or equal to the sequential identification of the log record write corresponding to the received acknowledgement (column 10, lines 34-45, Shomler).

² Examiner Notes: "Token" corresponds to block.

Regarding Claim 16, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the system wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing identification number (column 11, lines 38-46, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 17, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the system wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing time-stamp identification (column 7, lines 43-60, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 18, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the system wherein a log record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site before a data record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site (column 10, lines 43-67, Yanai).

Regarding Claim 19, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the method wherein each log record write is a log block (column 9, lines 26-32, Shomler) and each data record write is a data block write (column 9, lines 18-20, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 20, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose a remote site of a distributed storage system, the system comprising:

Art Unit: 2161

a storage system separately storing log records and data records (Fig.12, item 246, Yanai),

a controller (Fig. 1, item 44, Yanai) asynchronously receiving a log record write from a primary site (column 4, lines 10-17, Shomler), each respective log record received at the remote site having an associated sequential identification and a corresponding data record write (column 32, lines 34-58 and column 33, lines 7-10, Yanai), storing the received log record write in the storage system (column 10, lines 43-58, Yanai) and sending an acknowledgement from the remote site to the primary site when the received log record write is complete (column 10, lines 1-8, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 21, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the remote site wherein the controller further asynchronously receives a data record write from the primary site (column 10, lines 34-45, Shomler), each received data record write having a sequential identification that is prior to or equal to the sequential identification of the log record write corresponding to the received acknowledgement (column 10, lines 34-45, Shomler), and stores the received data record write (column 10, lines 43-58, Yanai).

Regarding Claim 22, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the remote site wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing identification number (column 11, lines 38-46, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 23, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the remote site wherein the sequential identification is a monotonically increasing time-stamp identification (column 7, lines 43-60, Shomler).

Regarding Claim 24, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the remote site wherein a log record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site before a data record write is asynchronously remotely copied from the primary site to the remote site (column 10, lines 43-67, Yanai).

Regarding Claim 26, the combination of Yanai in view of Shomler, disclose the remote site wherein each log record write is a log block (column 9, lines 26-32, Shomler) and each data record write is a data block write (column 9, lines 18-20, Shomler).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,602 Page 12

Art Unit: 2161

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chelcie Daye whose telephone number is 571-272-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Chelcie Daye Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 May 5, 2006

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

SECRETARIOLOGY CENTER 2100

5 A